IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO.80 OF 2006

Mrs.Anuja Arun Khanwilkar & Ors. ...Applicants

Versus

Mrs.Kanchan Girish Nandedkar ...Respondent

.....

Mr.Sandesh Patil for Applicants.

None for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

APRIL 26, 2006.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the Applicants.

2. I see no reason to depart from the opinion expressed by the Taxing Officer that the Applicants are obliged to pay court fees, as the dispute in question does not arise out of matrimonial matter. Taxing Officer has justly placed reliance on the reported decision in the case of **Mrs.Jyoti S.Doshi vs. M/s.Hindustan Hosiery Mills - 2000 (3)**

Bom.C.R. 813. Accordingly, this Revision should fail.

3. At this stage, Counsel for the Applicants prays for some time to pay the deficit court fees. That be deposited on or before 8th June 2006 failing which the Appeal will have to be proceeded as not prosecuted further.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.